# Influence of the nitrogen-sulfur fertilizing on the content of different sulfur fractions in soil

**M. Kulhánek, J. Černý, J. Balík, V. Vaněk, O. Sedlář**

*Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*

## ABSTRACT

Precise field experiments were established at 3 sites with oilseed rape under different soil-climatic conditions in the Czech Republic (Humpolec, Hněvčeves and Uhříněves) in the years 2008–2010. In this experiment, four fertilizing treatments with increasing S rate were evaluated. The contents of bioavailable ($S_W$), adsorbed ($S_{ads}$), occluded ($S_{ocl}$), and hydroiodic acid (HI) reducible ($S_{HI}$) sulfur were measured. The contents of the fractions within the studied sites in the samples collected before fertilizers application were comparable. The $S_W$, $S_{ads}$ a $S_{ocl}$ contents did not exceed 10 mg S/kg. The $S_{HI}$ contents differed depending on site and year. Fertilizing using S as $CaSO_4$ positively influenced the contents of $S_W$, $S_{ads}$, and $S_{HI}$ in soil. Sulfur fertilizing had also the positive tendencies to increase the winter rape yields but the differences between studied treatments were not statistically significant.

**Keywords**: N-S fertilizing; bioavailable; adsorbed; occluded and estersulfate bounded sulfur; rape yield

Bioavailable sulfur deficiency in soils is an actual problem in the Czech Republic and in other European countries. It applies to the countries with a recent good sulfur supply as well (Eriksen 2004, Lehmann et al. 2008, Skwierawska et al. 2008, Balík 2009 and others).

It can be attributed to the (i) decrease of atmospheric emissions; (ii) less intensive application of mineral fertilizers together with changes of their composition; (iii) restriction of manure, and (iv) cropping of plants with high S uptake in crop rotation (e.g. rape) on a bigger area (Scherer 2001, Blair 2002, Pacyna 2005).

From the mentioned reasons it is clear that sulfur is becoming a limiting factor for the harvest and production quality (Eriksen et al. 2004). Therefore, it is necessary to pay attention to sulfur fertilizing and get more information about different sulfur fractions in soil to optimize fertilizers split doses.

Inorganic sulfur represents usually 5–10% from total S (Tisdale et al. 1993). It can appear in many different forms. The most important form for S uptake by plants is its bioavailable sulfate form ($SO_4^{2-}$). This form represents usually only about 1% of total S (Knauff 2000). Another form represents the sulfates adsorbed due to the weak bind on the surface of soil particles. These have a direct influ-ence on the perseveration of sulfate balance with soil solution (McLaren and Cameron 1996). The most stable sulfur form is S occluded with carbonates, especially with calcium carbonate (Chen et al. 1997). The mentioned forms are possible to estimate using different sequential extraction methods, for example after Shan et al. (1997).

The content of organic sulfur ranges usually about 90% of total S in soil (Eriksen et al. 1998, Solomon et al. 2001) and includes (i) sulfur directly bound with carbon; (ii) sulfur indirectly bound with carbon, for example C-O-S and C-N-S bounds (Morche 2008) which can be approximately estimated using the extraction method according to Shan et al. (1992). This method can be also used for estimating some part of inorganic sulfur (Havlin et al. 2005). Both mentioned groups are potential sources of bioavailable sulfur.

The aim of this work is to evaluate different S forms in soils amended using different fertilizing systems in precise field experiments.

## MATERIAL A METHODS

Precise field experiments were established at three sites with oilseed rape under different

---

Table 1. Characteristic of experimental fields

| Site | Altitude (m) | Mean yearly | | Soil type | Soil sort | pH (CaCl$_2$) |
| | | rainfall (mm) | temperature (°C) | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Uhříněves | 295 | 575 | 8.3 | luvisol | clayey | 6.5 |
| Hněvčeves | 265 | 573 | 8.2 | luvisol | silty loam | 6.3 |
| Humpolec | 525 | 665 | 7.0 | cambisol | sandy loam | 5.1 |

soil-climatic conditions in the Czech Republic (Humpolec, Hněvčeves and Uhříněves) in the years 2008–2010 (except 2010 at Uhříněves site, where the plants were damaged due to strong frost in spring). The sites are characterized in Table 1.

For this experiment, four fertilizing treatments were evaluated. Addition of nitrogen (calcium ammonium nitrate – 27% N and calcium sulfate ammonium nitrate – 24% N, 6% S) at all treatments reached 200 kg N/ha. Treatment A was fertilized only with nitrogen, treatment B with 12.5 kg S/ha, treatment C with 25 kg S/ha and treatment D with 50 kg S/ha (Table 2). Each treatment was conducted in 4 replicates. Sulfur was applied in the form of CaSO$_4$ (as a component of N-S fertilizer Lovofert ® – ammonium nitrate calcium sulfate). Fertilizers were applied in three split doses: (i) at the beginning of the spring vegetation; (ii) at the vegetation period BBCH 30-32, and (iii) at the vegetation period BBCH 50-52 (only nitrogen).

For the analyses, fine (< 2 mm) topsoil (0–30 cm) samples of the spring vegetation beginning and from the BBCH 50-52 period were chosen. The samples were extracted using the following S-fractionation (Morche 2008): the soil sample was first extracted with demineralized water at 1:10 (w/v). After 30 min of shaking, each sample was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for the duration of 10 min in order to get bioavailable sulfur (S$_W$). Following the centrifugation, the samples were extracted with 0.032 mol/L NaH$_2$PO$_4$ at the 1:10 (w/v) ratio. After 30 min of shaking, each sample was again centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for the duration of 10 min to get adsorbed sulfur (S$_{ads}$). The

rest of the soil sample was extracted with 1 mol/L HCl. The extraction ratio was 1:20 (w/v). After 60 min of shaking, the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 10 000 rpm to get occluded sulfur (S$_{ocl}$). For the HI-reducible sulfur (S$_{HI}$), the method after Shan et al. (1992 adapted by Morche 2008) was used.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Precise field experiments with oilseed rape were established at three sites under different soil-climatic conditions in the Czech Republic in the years 2008–2010. Soil samples taken up before fertilizing and after adding the whole dose of S-fertilizers (vegetation period BBCH 50-52) were analyzed. In these samples, water extractable (bioavailable) sulfur (S$_w$), adsorbed sulfur (S$_{ads}$), occluded sulfur (S$_{ocl}$) and HI reducible sulfur (S$_{HI}$) was determined. Estersulfate bound sulfur (S$_{es}$) was subsequently calculated from these results. Regarding the fact that reached values did not fit the parameters of normal distribution, only basic statistical parameters were calculated. Table 3 mentions the different sulfur fractions amounts, estimated from the soil samples taken up before beginning of oilseed rape spring vegetation. The contents of the estimated fractions were comparable and the significant differences did not exceed the value of 0.5 (S$_w$); 0.4 (S$_{ads}$); 1.1 (S$_{ocl}$) and 7.7 (S$_{HI}$). For this reason it is possible to evaluate these sites as suitable for such experiments. It is also clear that the bioavailable S content was in very close range 4.1–6.8 mg S/kg (Table 3). This corresponds to the results of Matula

Table 2. Fertilizing of the experiment

| Fertilizing treatment | 1$^{st}$ rate (regeneration) | 2$^{nd}$ rate (BBCH 30–32) | 3$^{rd}$ rate (BBCH 50–52) | Total | |
| | (kg N/ha + kg S/ha) | | | (kg N/ha) | (kg S/ha) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| A | 80 + 0 | 80 + 0 | 40 + 0 | 200 | 0 |
| B | 80 + 12.5 | 80 + 0 | 40 + 0 | 200 | 12.5 |
| C | 80 + 25 | 80 + 0 | 40 + 0 | 200 | 25 |
| D | 80 + 25 | 80 + 25 | 40 + 0 | 200 | 50 |

Table 3. The contents of different S fractions in soils before fertilizing (mg S/kg)

| Fraction | Hněvčeves | | | Humpolec | | | Uhříněves | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2008 | 2009 |
| $S_W$ | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 6.8 |
| $S_{ads}$ | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| $S_{ocl}$ | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 8.4 |
| $S_{in}$* | 13.8 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 20.0 | 20.5 |
| $S_{HI}$ | 56.9 | 35.1 | 54.3 | 105.4 | 168.3 | 172.1 | 70.4 | 113.8 |
| $S_{es}$** | 43.1 | 21.4 | 50.0 | 89.5 | 151.3 | 155.3 | 50.4 | 93.3 |

*$\Sigma$ $S_W$, $S_{ads}$, $S_{ocl}$, **calculated as $S_{es} = S_{HI} - S_i$

(2007), who estimated the range of bioavailable sulfur between 3.7 and 29.3 mg S/kg (estimated using 0.5 mol/L ammonium acetate) on 36 sites in the Czech Republic. A similar range was found for the $S_{ads}$ and $S_{ocl}$ values, where the contents reached 4.1–5.1 mg S/kg and 3.1–8.6 mg S/kg, respectively. The lowest contents of the bioavailable sulfur were always found at the Humpolec site. The contents of adsorbed sulfur were comparable at all sites and studied years.

Using the HCl extraction as a part of the fractionation should mobilize a major portion of S occluded with carbonates (Chen et al. 1997, Shan et al. 1997). In this case differences were observable between studied sites. The highest $S_{ocl}$ contents were found at the Uhříněves site, where the values reached 7.5–8.6 mg S/kg and the lowest at Hněvčeves site, where they reached only 3.1–3.7 mg S/kg. Similar results were obtained by Balík et al. (2009), who estimated the average values of 7.2 mg S/kg ($S_w$), 5.2 mg S/kg ($S_{ads}$) and 9.9 mg S/kg ($S_{ocl}$) on 10 long-term non-fertilized sites in the year 2007.

The sum of the fractions $S_w$, $S_{ads}$, and $S_{ocl}$ represents the so-called 'inorganic S' ($S_{in}$) (Morche 2008). Although the use of the extractants is not fully selective, it is possible to assume that most of the extracted S is inorganic S (Shan et al. 1992, Morche 2008). The observed values ranged between 13.7 and 20.5 mg S/kg.

The $S_{HI}$ method should estimate the rate of organic sulfur bound with estersulfates. However, it is important to pay attention to the fact that using this method does not only estimate this S form. Sulfur dominates in estersulfate form, but it also estimates some ratio of inorganic sulfur (Havlin et al. 2005). Using the $S_{HI}$ method estimates the whole content of inorganic sulfur (Morche 2008). Therefore, it is better to calculate estersulfate bound sulfur content like $S_{es} = S_{HI} - S_{in}$.

From Table 4 is clear that sulfur fertilizing influenced the amounts of almost all of estimated sulfur fractions. The differences were usually not statistically significant, but there are visible increasing tendencies with increasing S-fertilizer dose.

The lowest bioavailable sulfur content was always found on control sulfur non-amended treatment where the values reached about 5.7 mg S/kg. With the increasing S-dose the $S_w$ content increased as well. The highest contents of bioavailable sulfur were found at the treatment fertilized with 50 kg S/ha. The average value of this treatment was 14.4 mg S/kg. Measured data correspond with the results published by Shan et al. (1997), who estimated the ratio of $S_W$ between 5.8–44.4 in the pot experiments (13 soils) and 8.0–34.2 mg S/kg in the field survey (25 soils) with soils using different fertilizing systems.

Similar trends were observable for the $S_{ads}$ values as well. Values between 5.0 and 5.6 mg S/kg were found in the year 2008, but the differences in 2009 and 2010 were obvious. Almost the same results were obtained by Balík et al. (2009), who observed an increasing trend of $S_w$ and $S_{ads}$ after a long term application of farmyard manure and farmyard manure together with NPK, where the part of N was added using ammonium sulfate. Shan et al. (1997) observed the $S_{ads}$ range between 5.2 and 176 mg S/kg in a pot experiment and a closer range between 11.5 and 29.7 mg S/kg in the field survey.

Different fertilizing system probably did not have an influence on the content of sulfur occluded with carbonates. The increasing $S_{ocl}$ trend with increasing S dose was observed only in the year 2010. Morche (2008) compared the changes in $S_{ocl}$ contents after a long term usage of composts and mineral fertilizers. She gets in average about 16.0–16.3 mg S/kg higher contents at the soils

Table 4. The contents of different S fractions after S fertilizing (average from observed sites)

| S fraction | Treatment | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | (mg S/kg) | SD | (mg S/kg) | SD | (mg S/kg) | SD |
| $S_w$ | A | 6.0 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 1.1 |
| | B | 7.2 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 1.6 |
| | C | 7.9 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 1.4 |
| | D | 12.4 | 3.9 | 16.5 | 8.0 | 14.2 | 4.9 |
| $S_{ads}$ | A | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 0.8 |
| | B | 5.0 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 0.6 |
| | C | 5.1 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| | D | 5.6 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 2.1 |
| $S_{ocl}$ | A | 6.6 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 0.9 |
| | B | 6.3 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 1.5 |
| | C | 6.3 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 3.1 | 8.2 | 1.1 |
| | D | 7.1 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 0.8 |
| $S_{in}$ | A | 17.6 | 1.3 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 15.8 | 1.2 |
| | B | 18.4 | 0.9 | 19.9 | 1.6 | 17.5 | 0.7 |
| | C | 19.4 | 2.1 | 27.3 | 1.3 | 23.7 | 1.5 |
| | D | 25.1 | 2.5 | 32.7 | 2.5 | 30.8 | 2.0 |
| $S_{HI}$ | A | 103.7 | 8.3 | 100.9 | 13.9 | 113.3 | 7.6 |
| | B | 104.9 | 12.5 | 109.2 | 5.3 | 115.5 | 3.3 |
| | C | 110.4 | 15.1 | 111.4 | 6.9 | 123.2 | 3.6 |
| | D | 114.0 | 13.3 | 135.2 | 7.1 | 128.8 | 7.6 |
| $S_{es}$** | A | 86.1 | 7.2 | 83.0 | 8.5 | 97.5 | 4.3 |
| | B | 86.5 | 6.3 | 89.3 | 4.6 | 98.0 | 6.5 |
| | C | 91.0 | 9.8 | 84.1 | 5.1 | 99.5 | 6.5 |
| | D | 88.9 | 8.2 | 102.5 | 15.8 | 98.0 | 7.3 |

SD – standard deviation; **$S_{es} = S_{HI} - S_{in}$; A – 0 kg S/ha; B – 12.5 kg S/ha; C – 25 kg S/ha; D – 50 kg S/ha

amended with composts. Similar tendencies were observed for $S_{ads}$ as well.

The $S_{in}$ ($S_W + S_{ads} + S_{ocl}$) content confirmed the above mentioned tendencies. Calculated values ranged between 15.8 and 32.7 mg S/kg, whereas the lowest contents were always estimated at control sulfur non-fertilized treatment (A) and the highest at the treatment fertilized using 50 kg S/ha (D).

The highest $S_{HI}$ amount was observed at D treatment and the lowest at A treatment. There, in contrast of inorganic sulfur fractions, outstanding differences between studied treatments were found. Values between 32.8 and 97.7 mg S/kg were found at the Hněvčeves site and values between 107.5 and 198.3 mg S/kg were even found at the Humpolec site.

From the results it is clear that the increase of $S_{HI}$ content was caused by the parallel estimating of the $S_{in}$ fraction. After calculating the estersulfate bound S content ($S_{HI} - S_{in}$) it is obvious, that using different fertilizers did not probably influence the content of $S_{es}$ in soil. A signifficant $S_{es}$ increase was observed only at treatment D in the year 2009. The values of $S_{es}$ found corresponds to the results of Shan et al. (1997) who got the $S_{es}$ range between 41 and 205 mg S/kg in pot experiments and between 61 and 274 mg S/kg in a field survey.

The aim of our experiment was also to evaluate the yields of oilseed rape (Table 5). There were no statistically significant differences between the studied treatments. Despite that, it is possible to assume that S-fertilizing using $CaSO_4$ S-form

Table 5. The yield of oilseed rape seeds at 12% moisture

| Site | Treatment | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|------|-----------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | | (t/ha) | SD | (t/ha) | SD | (t/ha) | SD |
| Hněvčeves | A | 3.93 | 0.18 | 3.79 | 0.41 | 6.84 | 0.68 |
| | B | 4.21 | 0.14 | 4.13 | 0.07 | 6.88 | 0.88 |
| | C | 4.03 | 0.26 | 4.08 | 0.16 | 6.85 | 0.66 |
| | D | 4.33 | 0.14 | 4.31 | 0.19 | 7.02 | 0.85 |
| Humpolec | A | 3.06 | 0.18 | 3.17 | 0.05 | 2.70 | 0.11 |
| | B | 3.27 | 0.18 | 3.27 | 0.11 | 4.00 | 0.12 |
| | C | 3.15 | 0.13 | 3.27 | 0.14 | 3.59 | 0.11 |
| | D | 3.25 | 0.15 | 3.06 | 0.07 | 4.48 | 0.12 |
| Uhříněves | A | 3.65 | 0.21 | 4.69 | 0.37 | – | – |
| | B | 3.49 | 0.26 | 5.08 | 0.17 | – | – |
| | C | 3.59 | 0.14 | 5.41 | 0.07 | – | – |
| | D | 3.64 | 0.16 | 5.29 | 0.13 | – | – |

SD – standard deviation; A – 0 kg S/ha; B – 12.5 kg S/ha; C – 25 kg S/ha; D – 50 kg S/ha

positively influenced the oilseed rape yield. There are visible tendencies: the seed yields on non-S-fertilized treatments are usually the lowest and at the treatment fertilized using the highest S dose (50 kg S/ha) the highest yields were obtained. The highest yields were reached in the year 2010, where we got a range between 6.84 and 7.02 t of oilseed rape seed per ha at the Hněvčeves site. The least favourable was the year 2008, where we got the highest yields at the Hněvčeves site as well, but the values ranged only between 3.93 and 4.33 t/ha. The lowest yields were usually found at the Humpolec site, where are the worst soil-climatic conditions for oilseed rape cropping.

From our experiment is possible to conclude following facts: the $S_w$ content ranged between 4.1 and 6.8 mg S/kg, the $S_{ads}$ between 4.1 and 5.3 mg S/kg, the $S_{ocl}$ between 3.1 and 8.6 mg S/kg and the $S_{HI}$ between 35.1 and 175 mg S/kg.

The N-S fertilizer application (with the increasing S dose) increased the $S_w$ and $S_{ads}$ contents. The contents of $S_{ocl}$ and $S_{es}$ were probably not influenced by the chosen fertilizers.

The highest oilseed rape yields were always found at the Hněvčeves site and the lowest yields were usually observed at the Humpolec site.

Increasing S dose led usually to an increase of oilseed rape seed yield, but the differences between the observed treatments were not statistically significant.

## REFERENCES

Balík J., Kulhánek M., Černý J., Száková J., Pavlíková D., Čermák P. (2009): Differences in soil sulfur fractions due to limitation of atmospheric deposition. Plant, Soil and Environment, 55: 344–352.

Blair G.J. (2002): Sulphur fertilizers: A global perspective. In: Proceedings No. 498. International Fertilizer Society, 1–36.

Chen B., Shan X., Shen D.Q., Mou S.F. (1997): Nature of the HCl-soluble sulfate in the sequential extraction for sulfur speciation in soils. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 357: 941–945.

Eriksen J. (2004): Gross sulphur mineralisation-immobilisation turnover in soil amended with plant residues. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 37: 2216–2224.

Eriksen J., Thorup-Kristensen K., Askegaard M. (2004): Plant availability of catch crop sulfur following spring incorporation. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 167: 609–615.

Eriksen J., Murphy M.D., Schnug E. (1998): The Soil Sulphur Cycle. In: Schnug E. (ed): Sulphur in Agroecosystems. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 39–73.

Knauff U. (2000): Changes of Organic Sulfur Bounds in Rhizospheare of Different Agriculture Plants. Bonner Agrikulturchemische Reihe, Bonn, 101. (In German)

Havlin J.L., Beaton J.D., Tisdale S.L., Nelson W.L. (2005): Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. Perason, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 219–243.

Lehmann J., Solomon D., Zhao F.J., McGrath S.P. (2008): Atmospheric $SO_2$ emissions since the late 1800s change organic sulfur forms in humic substance extracts of soils. Environmental Science and Technology, 42: 3550–3555.

Matula J., Pechová M. (2007): The influence of gypsum treatment on the acquirement of nutrients from soils by barley. Plant, Soil and Environment, *53*: 89–96.

McLaren R.G., Cameron K.C. (1996): Soil, plant and fertilizer sulphur. In: McLaren R.G., Cameron K.C. (eds.): Soil Science. Oxford University Press, Auckland, 221–228.

Morche L. (2008): S-fluxes and spatial alterations of inorganic and organic sulphur fractions in soil as well as their accumulation and depletion in the rhizosphere of agricultural crops by partial use of the radioisotope $^{35}$S. PhD Thesis. University of Bonn, Bonn, 322. (In German)

Pacyna S. (2005): Sulfur Importance for the Ferredoxin and Leghemoglobin Content and for Energy Support by the $N_2$-fixing Leguminoses. Bonner Agrikulturchemische Reihe, Bonn, 173. (In German)

Scherer H.W. (2001): Sulphur in crop production – invited paper. European Journal of Agronnomy, *14*: 81–111.

Shan X.Q., Bin C., Zhang T.H., Li F.L., Bei W., Jin Q. (1997): Relationship between sulfur speciation in soils and plant availability. Science of the Total Environment, *199*: 237–246.

Shan X.Q., Bin C., Long-Zhu J., Yan Z., Xiao-Ping H., Shi-Fen M. (1992): Determination of sulfur fractions in soils by sequential extraction, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy and ion chromatography. Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability, *4*: 97–103.

Skwierawska M., Zawartka L., Zawadzki B. (2008): The effect of different rates and forms of sulphur applied on changes of soil agrochemical properties. Plant, Soil and Environment, *54*: 171–177.

Solomon D., Lehmann J., Tekalign M., Fritzsche F., Zech W. (2001): Sulfur fractions in particle-size separates of the sub-humid Ethiopian highlands as influenced by land use changes. Geoderma, *102*: 41–59.

Tisdale S.L., Nelson W.L., Beaton J.D., Havlin J.L. (1993): Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 634.

*Corresponding author:*

Ing. Martin Kulhánek, Ph.D., Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze, Fakulta agrobiologie, potravinových a přírodních zdrojů, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6-Suchdol, Česká republika
phone: + 420 224 382 742, e-mail: kulhanek@af.czu.cz